

TOPOLOGY AND COLLAPSE

M. Socolovsky^(*)

*Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510, México D. F., México*

and

*Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, Universidad de Buenos Aires-CONICET
C1428ZAA, Bs. As., Argentina*

We show that the collapse of the wave function of an entangled state of two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles or two photons in the singlet state can be geometrically understood as a change of fibre bundles.

Key words: entanglement; collapse; fibre bundles.

1. More than four decades of theoretical and experimental results, from the original paper of Bell¹ and experiments of Aspect *et al*², to the more recent violation of an inequality by Leggett³ and Gröblacher *et al*⁴, strongly support the idea that quantum mechanics is a complete, non local, causal and non separable theory. (For a review, see for instance ref. 5.) A characteristic fact of this picture is the collapse of the wave function, a clearly non local/extended phenomenon. A global geometric description of it is then demanding. A step in this direction was given by Ne'eman⁶: using the global character of parallel transport due to a connection in a principal fibre bundle over space-time, he suggested to visualize the collapse as a sort of spontaneous symmetry breakdown process. However, no explicit example of such a connection was given.

2. An ideal mathematical experiment could be the following: imagine two distant observers A and B living on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The plane is contractible, so its fundamental group is zero. At a certain time t , observer A makes a small hole in the plane (it is enough to eliminate a single point). The whole space changes abruptly to a non simply connected space and the fundamental group becomes isomorphic to the integers. The space in which the spacelike separated (with respect to A) observer B lives then changes instantaneously.

3. In some sense quantum mechanics, in particular through the collapse process, makes the previous imaginary experiment feasible. In fact, consider an entangled pair of two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles flying appart from each other in the singlet spin zero state. (A similar analysis can be done with photons.) In a z -basis, the normalized spin wave function is

$$\psi^< = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow_1 \otimes \downarrow_2 - \downarrow_1 \otimes \uparrow_2). \quad (1)$$

Since each spin belongs to $\mathbb{C}^2$, the spin Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^<$ is $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^4$, and by normalization, $\psi^< \in S^7$, the 7-sphere. An overall $U(1)$ phase multiplies S^7 , leaving as the physical space of states the set of lines through the origin or projective space of $\mathbb{C}^4$, $P(\mathbb{C}^4)$, namely, the complex projective 3-space $\mathbb{C}P^3$ ("ray space"). The initial wave function then involves the 3rd complex Hopf bundle:

$$\xi_h^3 : U(1) \rightarrow S^7 \xrightarrow{h_3} \mathbb{C}P^3, \quad (2)$$

where

$$h_3(\vec{z}) = \vec{z}\mathbb{C}^*, \quad (3)$$

with $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$. At the moment t_0 in which a measurement of the spin projection of, say, particle 2, along the (arbitrary) direction $\hat{n}$ is performed, with the result, say, $S_{(\hat{n})_2} = -\frac{1}{2}$, the wave function collapses:

$$\psi^< \rightarrow \psi^> = (\hat{n})_1 \otimes (-\hat{n})_2. \quad (4)$$

Since the spin 2 fixes the direction of the spin 1, *i.e.*, once the measurement is performed, one spin contains all the information concerning the other spin, then the effective wave function can be considered a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}^> = \mathbb{C}^2$ *i.e.* an element of S^3 , the 3-sphere. Again, $U(1)$ gives an arbitrary phase on S^3 and the effective

physical space of states becomes the ray or projective space of $\mathbb{C}^2$, $P(\mathbb{C}^2)$ namely, the complex projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (homeomorphic to the 2-sphere S^2). Then the measurement process led us to the 1st complex Hopf bundle:

$$\xi_h^1 : U(1) \rightarrow S^3 \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathbb{C}P^1, \quad (5)$$

with

$$h_1(\vec{w}) = \vec{w}\mathbb{C}^*. \quad (6)$$

We can summarize the above change of bundle structure in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(1) & & U(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^3 & \hookrightarrow & S^7 \\ h_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow h_3 \\ \mathbb{C}P^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}P^3 \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{collapse}} & \end{array},$$

where $\hookrightarrow$ denotes the inclusion.

4. ξ_h^1 and ξ_h^3 belong to the infinite sequence of $U(1)$ -bundles $\xi_h^n : U(1) \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}} \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (the complex Hopf bundles) ⁷, with $\xi_h^n \hookrightarrow \xi_h^{n+1}$ and infinite limit $\xi_h^\infty : U(1) \rightarrow S^\infty \xrightarrow{h_\infty} \mathbb{C}P^\infty$. (S^∞ and $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ are respectively given by the union of all S^n and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ for finite n .) $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$, which is the clasifying space of $U(1)$, is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space and has its homotopy concentrated in dimension 2; then there is a bijection given by the 1st Chern class, $c_1 : \mathcal{P}_{U(1)}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathcal{P}_{U(1)}(X)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $U(1)$ -bundles over X and $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is the second cohomology group of X with integer coefficients. In particular, since

$$H^2(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathbb{C}P^3; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

then

$$\mathcal{P}_{U(1)}(\mathbb{C}P^1) \cong \mathcal{P}_{U(1)}(\mathbb{C}P^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

So, ξ_h^1 and ξ_h^3 are respectively the “intersections” of the sequence of Hopf bundles ξ_h^n mentioned above, with the infinite sequences P_k^3 and P_k^7 , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, of $U(1)$ -bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathbb{C}P^3$, with $P_1^3 \cong S^3$ and $P_1^7 \cong S^7$.

5. Equivalently, we can describe the collapse in terms of the associated vector bundles $\bar{\xi}_h^1$ and $\bar{\xi}_h^3$ due to the natural action of $U(1)$ on the complex numbers, $U(1) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(e^{i\rho}, z) \mapsto e^{i\rho}z$, $\rho \in [0, 2\pi)$. For $l = 2$ and $l = 4$,

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{C} \\ | \\ \bar{\xi}_h^{l-1} : S^{2l-1} \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C} \\ \bar{h}_{2l-1} \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}P^{l-1} \end{array},$$

with $S^{2l-1} \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C} = \{[(\vec{z}, w)]\}_{(\vec{z}, w) \in S^{2l-1} \times \mathbb{C}}$, $[(\vec{z}, w)] = \{(\vec{z}e^{i\rho}, e^{-i\rho}w)\}_{\rho \in [0, 2\pi)}$, and $\bar{h}_{l-1}([(\vec{z}, w)]) = h_{l-1}(\vec{z})$.

These line bundles are canonically isomorphic to the *canonical complex line bundles* $\gamma_{l-1}^c : \mathbb{C} - E(\gamma_{l-1}^c) \xrightarrow{\pi_{c,l-1}} \mathbb{C}P^{l-1}$ given by $\mathbb{C}P^{l-1} \times \mathbb{C}^l \supset E(\gamma_{l-1}^c) = \{(\vec{z}\mathbb{C}^*, \vec{w})\}_{(\vec{z}, \vec{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^{l*} \times \mathbb{C}^l}$ and $\pi_{c,l-1}((\vec{z}\mathbb{C}^*, \vec{w})) = \vec{z}\mathbb{C}^*$. The isomorphism is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & & \mathbb{C} \\ | & & | \\ S^{2l-1} \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{l-1}} & E(\gamma_{l-1}^c) \\ \bar{h}_{2l-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{c,l-1} \\ \mathbb{C}P^{l-1} & = & \mathbb{C}P^{l-1} \end{array}$$

with $\psi_{l-1}([(\vec{z}, w)]) = (\vec{z}\mathbb{C}^*, w\vec{z})$ and inverse $\psi_{l-1}^{-1}((\vec{z}\mathbb{C}^*, \vec{w})) = [(\frac{\vec{z}}{|\vec{z}|}, \lambda)]$, with $\lambda \frac{\vec{z}}{|\vec{z}|} = \vec{w}$.

A point of $E(\gamma_{l-1}^c)$ consists of a line through the origin in $\mathbb{C}^l$ together with a vector on this line. In terms of $E(\gamma_{l-1}^c)$ one has the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & & \mathbb{C} \\ | & & | \\ E(\gamma_1^c) & \hookrightarrow & E(\gamma_3^c) \\ \pi_{c,1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{c,3} \\ \mathbb{C}P^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} & \mathbb{C}P^3 \end{array}$$

6. As we said before, the bundles ξ_h^1 and ξ_h^3 belong to an infinite sequence ξ_h^{n-1} of $U(1)$ -bundles

$$\begin{array}{c} S^1 \\ \downarrow \\ \dots \hookrightarrow S^{2n-1} \hookrightarrow \dots \\ \downarrow h_{n-1} \\ \dots \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$, which is a filtration of the classifying bundle of the circle $\xi_h^\infty \equiv \xi_{U(1)} : U(1) \rightarrow S^\infty \xrightarrow{h_\infty} \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ with $S^\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{C}P^n$. Since $U(1)$ is a compact Lie group, ξ_h^∞ has a universal connection ⁸ given by the family of connections $\{\omega_{n-1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where ω_{n-1} is the connection

on ξ_h^{n-1} induced by the metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\langle \vec{z}, \vec{z}' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i z'_i$. At $\vec{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S^{2n-1}$, ω_{n-1} is given by

$$T_{\vec{e}} S^{2n-1} = V_{\vec{e}} \oplus H_{\vec{e}} \text{ with } V_{\vec{e}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} it \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ vertical space at } \vec{e}, \text{ and } H_{\vec{e}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{z_k \in \mathbb{C}, k=2, \dots, n} \text{ horizontal}$$

space at $\vec{e}$. In particular:

- i) at $\vec{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S^7$, ω_3 is given by $V_{\vec{e}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} it \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{\vec{e}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \\ z_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{z_k \in \mathbb{C}, k=2,3,4}$;
- ii) at $\vec{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S^3$, ω_1 is given by $V_{\vec{e}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} it \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{\vec{e}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$.

The collapse process involves the transition $\omega_3 \rightarrow \omega_1$. Unfortunately, we can not give yet a physical meaning (if any) to this transition; however, this is the point of contact with the work of Ne'eman in ref. 6, where the assumed connection responsible for the collapse, though unspecified, was supposed to exist in space-time, rather than in spin (or polarization) space. Nevertheless, if Ne'eman's idea is correct, the connection in question (in spin space) has to be a pull-back of the universal one.

(*) On sabbatical year at IAFE

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by the project PAPIIT IN113607, DGAPA-UNAM, México. The author thanks Professors F. Cukierman, G. L. Naber, and R. S. Huerfano for some remarks.

References

1. J. S. Bell, On the Einstein-Rosen-Podolsky paradox, *Physics* **I**, 195-200 (1964).
2. A. Aspect, J. Dalibart and G. Roger, Experimental test of Bell's inequalities using time-varying analyzers, *Physical Review Letters* **49**, 1804-1807 (1982).
3. A. J. Leggett, Nonlocal Hidden-Variable Theories and Quantum Mechanics: An Incompatibility Theorem, *Foundations of Physics* **33**, 1469-1493 (2003).
4. S. Gröblacher, T. Paterek, R. Kaltenbaek, C. Brukner, M. Zukowski, M. Aspelmeyer and A. Zeilinger, An experimental test of non-local realism, *Nature* **446**, 871-875 (2007).
5. A. Aspect, "Bell theorem: the naive view of an experimentalist", in *Quantum [Un]speakables-From Bell to Quantum information*, ed. by R. A. Bertlmann and A. Zeilinger, Springer (2002).
6. Y. Ne'eman, Classical geometric resolution of the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **80**, 7051-7053 (1983); The Problems in Quantum Foundations in the Light of Gauge Theories, *Foundations of Physics* **16**, 361-377 (1986).
7. N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre bundles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1951).
8. M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, Existence of universal connections, *American Journal of Mathematics* **83**, 563-572 (1961).

e-mails: socolovs@nucleares.unam.mx, socolovs@iafe.uba.ar